

iGT046 – Revisions to the Mod Rules

Development Group Meeting 22nd March 2012

Attendees: by teleconference

Colette Baldwin – E.ON (Chair)
David Speake - ESP
Tracy Goymer – GTC
Gethyn Howard – IPL
Mark Jones – SSE
Dan Simmons – EDF
David McCrone –Scottish Power
Cher Harris – SSEP (part)

Alternative proposals – check with JO what the 5 days is for?

Publication of mods – UNC 3 BD, iGT UNC 5 BD – we will seek to align that

Urgent Mods – Representatives & transporters to be mindful of setting timetables when urgent mods are raised in both codes.

Proceeding to consultation under the UNC – do they create a DMR at this stage – who determines the timetable – is it the Panel or is there guidance in the BPG – ask JO.

Mod Reports – need to be more complete. The Development group output is a proposal but it needs to be more like the mod report which needs to be more detailed about what's been included in the debate. We have an aspiration to ensure that the Mod report after development looks more detailed like those of the UNC. We need to pin down in the rules how this can be achieved.

DFMR - if we can improve the mod report and include the draft legal text then this step could be removed.

Review proposal – leave alone, it gives a mechanism for people to direct work from the panel and for panel to keep an eye on what's discussed.

Implementation timescales – is this now a free for all for implementation timescales – question for JO. Was this removed as a part of the code governance review? Do we keep ours as is? Do we align document and system releases under the UNC?

Clauses 15.5 extend to add to some additional wording. Discuss with S Ladle.

Actions: discuss with Iqbal and Sarah Bradbury at Ofgem – proposal and whether/how we're captured by the Code Governance Review.

Next meeting: 30th April 2012 in the Shipper Workgroup meeting