

iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

Date	12 th January
Reference	iGT043 Modification Proposal Consultation
Title	Consolidation and alignment of invoicing data
Respondee	Trevor Peacock - Fulcrum Pipelines
Position on the Modification	Not Specified.

Facilitation of the relevant objectives

How this proposal will, if implemented, better facilitate the “code relevant objectives”, as defined in Standard Condition 9 of the Gas Transporters Licence. For those answered Yes to, please provide a detailed explanation below the table.

<i>Relevant Objective</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
a. the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which this licence relates	
b. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the coordinated, efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	
c. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence	
d. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant suppliers	
e. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (d), the provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	
f. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code referred to in paragraphs 2 and 5 respectively of this condition	
g. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (f), the compliance with the Regulation* and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators	

* Regulation 2009/715/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 July 2009

Relevant Objectives to be better facilitated:

Likely impact on environment?

How this proposal will, if implemented, impact on greenhouse gas emissions?

iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

Implementation issues including impact on your systems

Additional Information and Comments

Please find attached below Fulcrum's response to the proposed system amendments associated with iGT043.

SOQ Agreed at time of RPC Entry

This information is something that is already stored within Fulcrums system and as such the amendment required would be fairly minor, i.e. the layout of the backing data spreadsheet would be revised to include this data and the data would be extracted from the system and added to the spreadsheet.

Approx. development time – 6-8 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

iGT CSEP Billing AQ

This information is something that is already stored within Fulcrums system and as such the amendment required would be fairly minor, i.e. the layout of the backing data spreadsheet would be revised to include this data and the data would be extracted from the system and added to the spreadsheet.

Approx. development time – 6-8 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

iGT CSEP Billing SOQ

From the description associated with this field it sounds as if this would be a duplicate of the "iGT CSEP Billing AQ". Is this supposed to be interpreted differently? If yes, could the definition be clearer for both of these proposed fields, i.e. is one supposed to relate to the MPRN level whilst the other relates to the CSEP level?

Until this item is clarified it is not possible to determine the development associated with this element.

Shipper CSEP AQ after AQ Review (CSEPs)

Andrew Margan has clarified that this detail should be a collation of the CSEP AQ value to reflect the shipper's portfolio as a snapshot at the end of each month. Whilst this data will be recorded within FACTS in separate fields, it is not currently collated on a monthly basis to provide this figure. Therefore, some development will be required to identify the data required and collate it into a figure that can be given in the invoice backing data.

Approx. development time – 8-12 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

LSP customer corrector charge

This is data which is not currently recorded in our system. To add the relevant fields associated with Correctors & their charges would require some specific development. Once available then information from these fields could be given in the invoice backing data.

Approx. development time – 8-12 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

Meter Type

iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

This information is something that is already stored within Fulcrums system and as such the amendment required would be fairly minor, i.e. the layout of the backing data spreadsheet would be revised to include this data and the data would be extracted from the system and added to the spreadsheet.

Approx. development time – 6-8 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

Transportation Rate at time of RPC entry

This information is something that is already stored within Fulcrums system and as such the amendment required would be fairly minor, i.e. the layout of the backing data spreadsheet would be revised to include this data and the data would be extracted from the system and added to the spreadsheet.

Approx. development time – 6-8 weeks from instruction to proceed from authorising body.

**Completed forms should be returned to the iGT UNC Representative, Gemserv Ltd
at iGT-UNC@gemserv.com or faxed to 020 7090 1001**