

Stage 02: Workgroup Report

iGT078:

Adding an Ancillary document to the IGT UNC for the new connections process

At what stage is this document in the process?

- 01 Modification Proposal
- 02 Workgroup Report
- 03 Draft Modification Report
- 04 Final Modification Report

Insertion of an ancillary document to help clarify the new standard connections process for domestic properties



Work Group recommends
iGT078 proceeds for a second shortened consultation



High Impact:



Medium Impact:
iGTs and Shippers



Low Impact:

Contents

1. Plain English Summary	3
2. Rationale for Change?	4
3. Solution.....	4
4. Relevant Objectives.....	5
5. Impacts and Costs	5
6. Likely Impact on Consumers	6
7. Likely Impact on Environment	6
8. Implementation	6
9. Legal Text.....	6
10. Recommendation	7

About this document:

The purpose of this report is to make a recommendation to the Panel, to be held on 16th March 2016, to submit iGT078 on a second shortened consultation. Panel are further requested to submit any further recommendations in respect of the assessment of this Modification.



Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

Proposer:
Kirandeep Samra



Kirandeep.samra@npower.com

Workgroup Meetings Convened

5th May 2015

2nd June 2015

30th June 2015

4th August 2015

1st September 2015

6th October 2015

3rd November 2015

1st December 2015

After the consultation responses for the Draft Modification Report were received, the Workgroup met one further time to consider changes the Proposer had recommended following comments received during the Consultation:

2nd February 2016

iGT078

Workgroup Report v2.0

10 February 2016

Version 2.0

Page 2 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

1. Plain English Summary

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

The majority view of attendees at the Workgroup was that the Modification continues to meet the criteria for self-governance. One party could not support this view.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

No.

Rationale for Change

The reason for raising this modification is to put a structure in place to serve as a platform to standardise the New Connections process for domestic supply points. Following the introduction of Single Service Provision (SSP) any revisions or anomalies can be addressed.

Solution

To introduce a New Connections Ancillary document incorporating the elements of the PSR (Project Summary Report). Separately, to define the detail of the Project Summary Report file, including file type, issue reason codes and rejection codes.

Relevant Objectives

The Workgroup agrees that this Modification better facilitates:

Objective D) as accurate data (to support CoS) and processes that support competitive practices are the main drivers.

Objective F) as this change will improve governance arrangements and therefore improve administration of the Code.

Implementation

It is proposed that this Modification would require a minimum lead time of six months (due to system changes) following a determination to implement. A question regarding implementation lead time was presented in the first consultation of this Modification and, a majority of respondents agreed that a six months lead time was required.

2. Rationale for Change?

Although it is recognised that iGTs have different commercial drivers for how MPRNs are managed at New Connections, there is no standardised process in place. That creates difficulties as there is no baseline or uniform process that is followed by all iGTs. The introduction of the iGT New Connections document will help standardise this process. Following the planned introduction of SSP any subsequent changes can be captured and updated as appropriate.

The Workgroup agreed that the Rationale for Change was appropriate and complete, without further comment.

3. Solution

[Ancillary Document](#)

[Project Summary Report Template](#)

[Project Summary Notification Template](#)

[Legal Text](#)

Following significant discussion at several meetings of the Workgroup, the current version of both the Ancillary Document and PSR file templates were agreed. Industry was engaged with at all stages of the development of both documents, and comments were incorporated during many iterations.

Comments included clarification around the fields to be included in the PSR file (including the relevance of including meter type [smart, PPM etc.]), how information was derived (e.g. whether MDD would be used for supplier short codes), file lengths, optionality of fields, file formats, record names and file hierarchy, and the supplementary notes within the Ancillary Document.

This Modification was originally submitted for Consultation in December. Consultation responses highlighted further concerns over the file format templates which would prevent successful implementation. The Proposer therefore recommended several further amendments, and presented them at the Workgroup on 2nd February 2016 for consideration by Parties.

The Workgroup considered the amendments raised in the Consultation response together with a number that were raised subsequent to the Consultation close out and agreed changes to the PSR Templates and Ancillary Document. The Workgroup consensus was that a further Consultation would be required as the changes may alter parties' representations, particularly where parties had previously provided qualified support for the proposal because of their concerns over the completeness of the templates. The workgroup was of a view that the consultation could be shortened if required as the amendments did not change the basic intent of the proposal.

iGT078

Workgroup Report v2.0

10 February 2016

Version 2.0

Page 4 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	Positive
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	

The Workgroup considers that this Modification would facilitate:

Relevant Objective D)

More accurate data will support the CoS process and thus support competition between Suppliers.

Relevant Objective F)

This change will improve governance arrangement and therefore improve administration of the Code.

5. Impacts and Costs

The Workgroup agreed that costs associated with implementing the changes would be the costs of system changes, with consultation responses noting that they have not yet been unable to quantify the exact costs.

iGT078

Workgroup Report v2.0

10 February 2016

Version 2.0

Page 5 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

The Workgroup agreed that there may be an improvement to the customer journey as a result of the change.

A more consistent approach may help improve data quality issues which can be experienced at the Change of Supplier (CoS) process, as a result of less inaccurate data being captured at the New Connections stage.

7. Likely Impact on Environment

None.

8. Implementation

The Workgroup agreed that a minimum of six months' lead time would be required following a determination to implement.

Workgroup expressed a desire for the change to be implemented in line with Project Nexus, based on the current Project Nexus implementation date of 1st October 2016, although noted that the two Modifications were not interdependent.

For the avoidance of doubt it is recommended by the Workgroup that, from the implementation date, any new PSRs will take the form of the new format, and that if any existing PSRs needed to be reissued these would also be within the new format.

The Workgroup approach was considered and subsequently agreed within the initial consultation process.

9. Legal Text

[Ancillary Document](#)

[Project Summary Report Template](#)

[Project Summary Notification Template](#)

[Legal Text](#)

iGT078

Workgroup Report v2.0

10 February 2016

Version 2.0

Page 6 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

Two versions of the legal text were reviewed and agreed by the Workgroup. These were necessary as the text would be different depending on whether the Modification was implemented prior to the commencement of SSP or at the same time /post the introduction of SSP.

The legal text was considered in the initial Consultation and parties agreed that it was fit for purpose.

10. Recommendation

The Workgroup invites the Panel to:

- **AGREE** for a secondary shortened consultation for iGT078; and
- **AGREE** that Code Administrators should issue iGT078 Draft Modification Report for a secondary consultation with a close-out date set such that an amended Final Modification Report can be presented to the Panel to consider at its meeting on 16/03/2016.