

Question 1 Would you support the introduction of a gateway transfer mechanism for the PSRs for iGT078/iGT079? If yes or no please provide your rationale	
ESP	No. The PSR process may be well known to the Big 6 but for smaller shippers (domestic and commercial) they are not familiar with how this interacts or takes the place of a normal acquisition. Expecting them to receive and process the flow with no supporting information or direction will not be beneficial for any participant in the process (including the customer).
Fulcrum	Fulcrum Pipelines would support the introduction of a gateway transfer mechanism for the PSRs. However, we would have a concern as to whether this may incur additional costs.
E.ON UK	Yes, we would support a consistent approach
Scottish Power	Yes we would support
Question 2 If yes, would you prefer it to be all gateway or still have an option to use email as described in the approved ancillary document?	
ESP	n/a
Fulcrum	If this was to go ahead, Fulcrum Pipelines would preferably want the transfer mechanism to be all gateway. Alternatively all email. It would be extremely difficult to build a system which could manage both gateway and email options.
E.ON UK	We choose a gateway solution
Scottish Power	We would prefer a consistent approach, so all through the gateway
Question 3 Would you have a preference for gateway? E.g. IX / DTN	
ESP	n/a
Fulcrum	Fulcrum Pipelines would only want the IX Gateway solution. We do not have a DTN option.
E.ON UK	IX but happy to discuss options
Scottish Power	Needs further discussion?
Question 4 If you support the introduction when would your preferred implementation date be?	
ESP	n/a
Fulcrum	Potentially a minimum of two months after the implementation date for Mods 078 / 079.
E.ON UK	No earlier than Project Nexus
Scottish Power	Project Nexus Implementation Date (currently 01/06/17)
Question 5 What ancillary document amendments would you expect to see to implement a gateway transfer mechanism for PSRs? E.g. file naming convention update	
ESP	n/a
Fulcrum	If the gateway transfer mechanism was to be implemented, we would expect the ancillary document to have a full review and be updated accordingly.
E.ON UK	We'd suggest a full document review with clear information relating to file transfers via the gateway
Scottish Power	I'm not sure on this, would need to consider further internally

Question 6 Any other comments relating to the introduction of a gateway transfer mechanism?	
ESP	ESP would support these questions being revisited post-implementation of the new PSR formats.
BU-UK	From our position we would only support the automation of iGT078 & iGT079 via the IX gateway if it is brought in as an additional mechanism and continues to allow transmission via email. Our experience is, as part of the current process, we send to a number of different email distribution lists and would prefer to maintain this ease of communication. In addition, we would not wish to limit transmission to a third party owned mechanism only.
Fulcrum	Fulcrum Pipelines have some slight concerns that the implementation date of a gateway transfer mechanism for PSR's could clash with the potential PNID. This could make the possible development / implementation difficult and need revising.
E.ON UK	No further comments
Scottish Power	No further comments at this time!