

Minutes of iGT 038 development group

Teleconference - Thursday 22 March

Participants

David Speake	ESP
Kay Mackey	GTC
Lisa Wong	ESP
Gemma Kemmery	IPL
Gethyn Howard	IPL
Cher Harris	SSEPL
Dave Bowles	Fulcrum Pipelines
Mark Jones	SSE
Dan Simons	EDF

Apologies

Colette Baldwin	Eon
Cesar Coehlo	Ofgem

1. Developments since last meeting (Nexus)

No significant developments elsewhere, although shipper frustration at Nexus timelines was noted. In reality this makes it more likely that a modification could be raised to UNC for a rolling AQ in advance of Nexus implementation.

It has been informally indicated that Xoserve would not be in a position to take on a rolling AQ service for iGTs where the equivalent service had not yet been developed for all GTs. Cost and delay to the Nexus programme would be too significant.

2. Review of Agreed Principles Document

The group considered all aspects of the agreed principles document and a number of updates were made. Please refer to the document for current status of all discussions.

D Speake and M Jones took an action to document the detailed process of getting the WAALP (CWV) data for the calculation, to run through with the group at the next meeting.

All group members are to consider the use of the portfolio extract for some elements of AQ reporting.

LW took an action to consider the impact of changes in asset details during the current AQ review, and the implication for a rolling AQ review.

3. AQ review reporting

Reporting requirements were agreed and are noted in the Agreed Principles Document.

LW took an action to take the existing output and slim it down for a rolling AQ process.

4. Draft business process for iGT rolling AQ

The business process and monthly timeline has now been agreed and recorded in the Agreed Principles Document.

5. Feedback from outstanding questions to Xoserve

Further discussion is required with Xoserve.

6. Tolerance levels for valid new AQs

It was agreed that thresholds should exist but further work is required to define the level of the threshold. Previous thresholds were a blunt tool and there is an appetite to look for something more sophisticated. It was noted that some work had already been carried out on threshold levels for different size supply points.

Dan Simons took an action to find the relevant documentation.

7. Timing of modification

No further discussion was held.

8. Cost benefit analysis

It is assumed that this will be an important piece of work but it is still unclear whether it will be the role of the development group, or will take place as part of a potential consultation process. In particular it was noted that where iGT face implementation costs, shippers will need to present the benefits of switching to the new AQ regime (possibly for iGTs only).

9. Date of next meeting

Wednesday 18th April, following iGT UNC panel meeting (TBC)