

IGT041 /042

“EU 3rd Package – 3 Week Switching”**Meeting #2 Minutes**

Attendees:

Via Teleconference

Cher Harris (CH) - Chair	SSEPL
Lorna Gibb (LG)	Scottish Power
Jenny Rawlinson (JR)	GTC
Tracey Goymer (TG)	GTC

Apologies:

Ann Jackson	SSE
Gethyn Howard	IPL
David Speake	ESP
Trevor Peacock	Fulcrum

1. Introductions

CH confirmed attendees.

2. Purpose

CH explained that the purpose of today's discussion was to consider whether or not it was appropriate, and possible, for the workgroup to compile a group response to the UNC 396 Consultation.

3. Review

The attendees agreed that it was still the intention of the Review Group to submit a group response to the UNC 396 Consultation, but only if we were able to unanimously agree on a preferred solution. As this may not be possible, the attendees discussed other options, such as only submitting a combined IGT response (assuming IGT's views are similar) or submitting a response that only listed the pros and cons of the Mod, as discussed at the previous meeting, but stating no preference or recommendation. The latter option was not favoured as it was unlikely that such a response would contain any points that had not already been raised and discussed at the UNC development group meetings.

LG advised that UNC 396 had not yet been issued to Consultation and would in fact go back to the UNC Panel meeting on 15th December 2011. As such, more time is available to compile the group response.

CH took an action to write to all group members to request views on both IGT041/UNC 396 and IGT042/UNC 403 and any comments that could potentially be incorporated into a group response to UNC Mod 396.

4. Future meeting dates

Meeting #3 – tbc

5. AOB

There was no AOB and the meeting concluded.

Actions:

- *CH action: write to group members for views on IGT041/UNC 396 and IGT042/UNC 403*