

iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

Date	7 th September 2011
Reference	iGT037 Modification Proposal Consultation
Title	Inclusion of SPA Fax Forms as an Ancillary Document
Respondee	Jenny Rawlinson GTC
Position on the Modification	Support Modification

Facilitation of the relevant objectives

How this proposal will, if implemented, better facilitate the "code relevant objectives", as defined in Standard Condition 9 of the Gas Transporters Licence. For those answered Yes to, please provide a detailed explanation below the table.

Relevant Objective	Yes/No
a. the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which this licence relates	
b. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the coordinated, efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	Yes
c. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence	
d. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant suppliers	
e. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (d), the provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	
f. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code referred to in paragraphs 2 and 5 respectively of this condition	Yes

This modification will provide a clear repository for the fax forms and a standardised, governed change process for all parties seeking to make improvements to them.

Likely impact on environment?

None

Implementation issues including impact on your systems

None

Additional Information and Comments

Whilst comparing these fax forms with those currently being used by various

iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

parties we have identified minor and fairly insignificant differences. It might be beneficial, therefore, if the modification is successful, once it is directed, for the iGT-SHIPPER workgroup to discuss those differences and, if appropriate, propose relevant amendments to the forms. This will ensure complete clarity and yet accommodate changes which may have been made by parties to bring them in line with current requirements.

Completed forms should be returned to the iGT UNC Representative, Gemser Ltd at iGT-UNC@gemserv.com or faxed to 020 7090 1001