

Consultation Response

GPL048S: Changes to the GTC Pipelines Limited Individual Network Code in line with Single Service Provision (SSP) QPL041S: Changes to the QPL Individual Network Code in line with Single Service Provision (SSP) IPL041S: Changes to the IPL Individual Network Code in line with Single Service Provision (SSP)

Responses invited by: 14/04/2015		
Respondent Details		
Name: Andrew Margan		
Organisation: British Gas Trading		
Support Implementation	X	
Qualified Support		
Neutral		
Do Not Support		

iGT0xx	
Consultat	ion Response
Day Mont	h Year
Version 1	.0
Page 1 of	· 4
© 2015 a	III rights reserved



Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support implementation of GPL048, QPL041 and IPL041, as we believe the introduction of IGT039 (Use of a Single Gas Transporter Agency for the common services and systems and processes required by the iGT UNC) will make the following redundant-

Schedule 1

0	Point 2 - Nominations - Remove	
0	Point 4 – Meter Installation Works – Remove	
0	Point 6 – Invoice Query – Amend numbering	
0	Point 7 – Emergency Contact Details reconciliation for Large Supply Points - Remove	
0	Point 8 – Change of Supplier - Remove	
 □ Appendix 1- Change of Supplier file formats - Remove □ Appendix 2 - Removal of Fax Forms - Remove □ Appendix 3 - Meter Installation Works - Remove 		
TI	his change is required to remove redundant and duplicate code, as and when IGT039 is implemented.	

iGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 2 of 4

© 2015 all rights reserved



Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We believe there are no material impacts to consumers or competition, so the change is self governance.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None identified

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We believe removing redundant documentation facilitates relevant objective f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

None identified

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Implementation should be linked to the go-live date of IGT039.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

No issues identified

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

None

iGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 3 of 4

© 2015 all rights reserved



Responses should be submitted by email to iGTUNC@gemserv.com

iGT0xx

Consultation Response

Day Month Year

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 4

© 2015 all rights reserved