

## iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

<b>Date</b>	11 <sup>th</sup> April 2011
<b>Reference</b>	iGT034 Modification Proposal Consultation (Delete as required)
<b>Title</b>	Designation of existing „AQ procedures“ document as an Ancillary Document
<b>Respondee</b>	Colette Baldwin – E.ON
<b>Position on the Modification</b>	Support Modification

### Facilitation of the relevant objectives

*How this proposal will, if implemented, better facilitate the “code relevant objectives”, as defined in Standard Condition 9 of the Gas Transporters Licence. For those answered Yes to, please provide a detailed explanation below the table.*

<i>Relevant Objective</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
a. the efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system to which this licence relates	
b. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the coordinated, efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters	
c. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations under this licence	
d. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant suppliers	
e. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (d), the provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers	
f. so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (e), the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the network code and/or the uniform network code referred to in paragraphs 2 and 5 respectively of this condition	<b>Yes</b>

*Relevant Objectives to be better facilitated:*

### Likely impact on environment?

*How this proposal will, if implemented, impact on greenhouse gas emissions?*

**None**

## iGT UNC / iGT INC Consultation Response

### Implementation issues including impact on your systems

#### Additional Information and Comments

Bringing this document under the code gives Pipeline Operators and Users certainty on the process and how changes to the process are agreed. However, the change process for an Ancillary Document is accelerated to allow minor changes to be brought in quite quickly where there is agreement that the change is minor and that it can be delivered quickly. The risk may be that any changes which might be considered as minor by the proposer may be rejected as such by the respondents. Add to this the fact that the AQ process is time-bound and therefore any changes that may then need to go through a modification process rather than the accelerated approach would need to be raised early enough to ensure that they can be delivered in time. On that basis I would suggest that the iGT UNC Representative take an action to notify users of the last date for raising any modifications to the AQ Review process for them to be effective/implemented in time for the coming year's AQ review.

Completed forms should be returned to the iGT UNC Representative, Gemserv Ltd at [iGT-UNC@gemserv.com](mailto:iGT-UNC@gemserv.com) or faxed to 020 7090 1001